

A simple method of determining the Hubble constant ¹

Yi-Ping Qin^{1,2,3,4}

¹ Yunnan Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan 650011, P.

R. China; E-mail: ypqin@public.km.yn.cn

² National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences

³ Chinese Academy of Science-Peking University joint Beijing Astrophysical Center

⁴ Yunnan Astrophysics Center

Abstract

Bidirectional relativistic proper motions of radio components of nearby extragalactic sources give a strong constraint on the determination of the Hubble constant H_0 . Under the assumption that the real velocity of radio components of extragalactic sources is not less than that of Galactic sources, the value of H_0 can be estimated at a high level of accuracy. The assumption is reasonable due to the general belief that the activity in the core of galaxies must be more powerful than that of stars. This method is simple and with only one uncertainty — the real velocity of components. This uncertainty is related to the value of the real velocity of components of Galactic sources and the latter is always well-determined (note that the determination is independent of H_0 and the distance of Galactic sources can be directly measured at a rather high level of accuracy). Hopefully the method will play an important role in future research to fix the value of H_0 . With the data of the three sources available so far and the assumption that the real velocity of components of at least one of the sources is not less than a known velocity of components of a Galactic source, the constant is estimated to be within $27.08 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} < H_0 \leq 53.15 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ with this method.

The real value of the Hubble constant has been a hot topic for a long time. In the past few years, some advances have been achieved (for more details, see Trimble and Aschwanden 1999, Trimble and McFadden 1998). An exciting result of observation by HST led to $H_0 = 80 \pm 17 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$

¹This essay received an “honorable mention” in the 1999 Essay Competition of the Gravity Research Foundation.

(Freedman et al. 1994). However, with the same data, some people got a much smaller value, e. g., $H_0 = 40 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ (Sandage et al. 1994). Among the many methods, that taking the peak brightness of Type Ia supernovae as a distance indicator is generally used. It gave a small value of the constant, $H_0 = 61 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, by Brach (1992).

Bidirectional relativistic motions of extragalactic radio sources can be used to estimate the constant (Marscher and Broderick 1982). Recently there were some sound works using this method published. The most successful one was done by Taylor et al. (1997). However, the method they used is somewhat complicated. And it does not tell how the uncertainties of the measurements used affect the estimation of H_0 , and what one should do when the data of several sources are available.

In the following, we illustrate a simple method of determining the Hubble constant using bidirectional relativistic proper motions of extragalactic radio sources.

The apparent transverse velocities of components of a source along an axis at an angle θ to the line of sight at a velocity βc can be expressed as (Rees 1966, 1967)

$$(\beta_{app})_a \equiv \frac{\mu_a D_L}{c(1+z)} = \frac{\beta \sin \theta}{1 - \beta \cos \theta}, \quad (1)$$

$$(\beta_{app})_r \equiv \frac{\mu_r D_L}{c(1+z)} = \frac{\beta \sin \theta}{1 + \beta \cos \theta}, \quad (2)$$

where a and r stand for the motions of the approaching and receding components, with μ_a and μ_r being the corresponding proper motions, respectively.

These two equations give

$$\frac{D_L}{c(1+z)} = \frac{1}{2\mu_a\mu_r} \sqrt{\beta^2(\mu_a + \mu_r)^2 - (\mu_a - \mu_r)^2}. \quad (3)$$

For small redshift sources, the following is maintained for all kinds of the universe within the framework of the FRW cosmology,

$$\frac{D_L}{1+z} \simeq \frac{cz}{H_0}, \quad (4)$$

where H_0 is the Hubble constant of the universe.

From Equation (3) one has

$$H_0 \simeq \frac{2\mu_a\mu_r z}{\sqrt{\beta^2(\mu_a + \mu_r)^2 - (\mu_a - \mu_r)^2}}. \quad (5)$$

It shows that, for a nearby extragalactic source with measured values of z , μ_a and μ_r , when

β is known, the Hubble constant would be well determined. This relation provides a very strong constrain on the determination of H_0 .

From Equation (5), the law of $\beta < 1$ leads to

$$H_0 > z\sqrt{\mu_a\mu_r} \quad (6)$$

for any sources. Therefore, among many values of the lower limit of H_0 , calculated from various sources, the largest one would be the closest value to the limit. If μ_a and μ_r are presented in the form $\mu \pm \Delta\mu$ for a source, then the lower limit of H_0 determined by the source should be

$$H_{0,\min} = z\sqrt{(\mu_a - \Delta\mu_a)(\mu_r - \Delta\mu_r)}, \quad (7)$$

with $H_{0,\min}$ satisfying

$$H_{0,\min} < H_0. \quad (8)$$

In this way, the largest value of $H_{0,\min}$ among those calculated from various sources should be taken as the best estimation of the lower limit of H_0 .

Let

$$\alpha \equiv 1 - \beta. \quad (9)$$

Since $0 \leq \beta < 1$, then $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. For a small value of α , Equation (5) gives

$$H_0 \simeq z\sqrt{\mu_a\mu_r}\left[1 + \frac{(\mu_a + \mu_r)^2}{4\mu_a\mu_r}\alpha\right]. \quad (10)$$

Considering the case where α is known to the extent of $\alpha \leq \alpha_{\max}$ for a source, the upper limit of the Hubble constant would be determined by the source in the way

$$H_0 \leq H_{0,\max}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$H_{0,\max} = z\sqrt{(\mu_a + \Delta\mu_a)(\mu_r + \Delta\mu_r)}\left[1 + \frac{(\mu_a + \Delta\mu_a + \mu_r + \Delta\mu_r)^2}{4(\mu_a + \Delta\mu_a)(\mu_r + \Delta\mu_r)}\alpha_{\max}\right]. \quad (12)$$

In determination of the range of H_0 , there is a reasonable demand that the ranges of H_0 estimated from different sources should be overlapped. This demand is consistent with the above principle of choosing the lower limit of H_0 . When determining the upper limit of H_0 from (12), the requirement can be realized by adopting various values of $\alpha_{\max}$ for different sources.

If among these sources, there is at least one source satisfying $\alpha \leq \alpha_{\max}$ for a given $\alpha_{\max}$, the largest value of $H_{0,\max}$ calculated with this value of $\alpha_{\max}$ for all the sources should be taken as the

best estimation of the upper limit of H_0 . In this way, while the given value of $\alpha_{\max}$ is assigned to the source of the largest value of $H_{0,\max}$, some larger values of $\alpha_{\max}$ should be assigned to other sources, so that the estimated ranges of H_0 may be overlapped.

Since the value of $\alpha_{\max}$ for Galactic sources can be calculated at a rather high level of accuracy, that for extragalactic sources can then be well settled by assuming that it would not be less than that for Galactic sources. This assumption is reasonable due to the general belief that the activity in the core of galaxies must be more powerful than that of stars.

Recently, several Galactic sources were found to have bidirectional relativistic proper motions of radio components. Among them, the largest and well calculated value of β is 0.92 ± 0.02 for GRO J1655-40 (Hjellming and Rupen 1995). This corresponds to $\beta_{\min} = 0.9$ and $\alpha_{\max} = 0.1$ for the source. Therefore, at present, it is reasonable to take $\alpha_{\max} = 0.1$ for extragalactic sources according to the assumption.

Till now, there are only a few extragalactic sources with measured values of μ_a and μ_r found in literature. Excluding those with high redshifts or uncertain values of proper motions, there are only three sources suitable for our study. They are: 1146+596 (NGC 3894), $z = 0.01085$, $\mu_a = 0.26 \pm 0.05 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ and $\mu_r = 0.19 \pm 0.05 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ (Taylor et al. 1998); 0316+413 (3C 84), $z = 0.0172$, $\mu_a = 0.58 \pm 0.12 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ and $\mu_r \leq 0.28 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ (Marr et al. 1989, Vermeulen et al. 1994); 1946+708, $z = 0.101$, $\mu_a = 0.117 \pm 0.020 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ and $\mu_r = 0.053 \pm 0.020 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ (Taylor and Vermeulen 1997).

For the lower limit of H_0 , the first and the third sources give $H_{0,\min} = 8.82 \text{ kms}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ and $27.08 \text{ kms}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, respectively from (7), while the second source gives no lower limit of H_0 . According to the above principle of choosing $H_{0,\min}$, the best estimation of the lower limit of H_0 from these data should be $H_{0,\min} = 27.08 \text{ kms}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$.

For the given value of $\alpha_{\max} = 0.1$, the three sources give $H_{0,\max} = 15.45 \text{ kms}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $40.51 \text{ kms}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, and $53.15 \text{ kms}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, respectively from (12). Assuming that there is at least one source satisfying $\alpha \leq \alpha_{\max}$ for $\alpha_{\max} = 0.1$, then according to the above requirement we choose $H_{0,\max} = 53.15 \text{ kms}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ as the best estimation of the upper limit of H_0 .

Therefore, we obtain the range of $27.08 \text{ kms}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} < H_0 \leq 53.15 \text{ kms}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ for the Hubble constant from the data of the three sources.

In practice, the observable bidirectional relativistic proper motions of radio components of a

source are always those moving almost perpendicular to the line of sight, and then the values of their μ_a and μ_r are close (see, e.g., Taylor et al. 1998). Therefore $\frac{(\mu_a + \Delta\mu_a + \mu_r + \Delta\mu_r)^2}{4(\mu_a + \Delta\mu_a)(\mu_r + \Delta\mu_r)} \simeq 1$ and $\frac{(\mu_a + \Delta\mu_a + \mu_r + \Delta\mu_r)^2}{4(\mu_a + \Delta\mu_a)(\mu_r + \Delta\mu_r)} \alpha_{\max} \simeq 0.1$ for $\alpha_{\max} = 0.1$. It shows that taking $\alpha_{\max} = 0.1$ will only produce about 10% uncertainty for $H_{0,\max}$. When more such sources have been observed, the expected value of $\alpha_{\max}$ and the corresponding uncertainty will be smaller.

This method depends on only one assumption and it concerns only one uncertainty — the real velocity of components. This uncertainty concerns the value of the real velocity of components of Galactic sources and the latter is always well determined (note that the determination is independent of H_0 and the distance of Galactic sources can be directly measured at a rather high level of accuracy). The assumption is weak due to the general belief that the activity in the core of galaxies must be more powerful than that of stars. Also, the method is simple. To determine H_0 at a high level, one only needs to measure μ_a and μ_r at a high level of accuracy and finds a sufficient number of such sources (say, 10 or more). The method is then hopeful to play an important role for finally fixing the value of the Hubble constant in future researches.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank G. B. Taylor, R. C. Vermeulen and Y. H. Zhang for kindly supplying necessary materials. This work was supported by the United Laboratory of Optical Astronomy, CAS, the Natural Science Foundation of China, and the Natural Science Foundation of Yunnan.

REFERENCES

- Brach, D. 1992, *Astrophys. J.*, **392**, 35
- Freedman, W. L. et al. 1994, *Nature*, **371**, 757
- Hjellming, R. M., and Rupen, M. P. 1995, *Nature.*, **375**, 464
- Marr, J. M., Backer, D. C., and Wright, M. C. H. et al. 1989, *Astrophys. J.*, **337**, 671
- Marscher, A. P., and Broderick, J. J. 1982, In *Extragalactic Radio Sources*, D. S. Heesch and C. M. Wade, eds. (Dordrecht: Reidel), p. 359
- Rees, M. J. 1966, *Nature*, **211**, 468
- Rees, M. J. 1967, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **289**, 945
- Sandage, A. et al. 1994, *Astrophys. J.*, **423**, L13
- Taylor, G. B., and Vermeulen, R. C. 1997, *Astrophys. J.*, **485**, L9
- Taylor, G. B., Worbelt, J. M., and Vermeulen, R. C. 1998, *Astrophys. J.*, **498**, 619
- Trimble, V., and Aschwanden, M. 1999, *Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific*, **111**, 385
- Trimble, V., and Mcfadden, L.-A. 1998, *Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific*, **110**, 223
- Vermeulen, R. C., Readhead, A. C. S., and Backer, D. C. 1994, *Astrophys. J.*, **430**, L41